UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/016,750	12/10/2001	Shahram Mostafazadeh	NSC1P226R	5469
58766 Beyer Law Gro	7590 05/13/200 up LLP	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 1687	, -	STARK, JARRETT J		
Cupertino, CA 9	93013-1067		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2823	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/13/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/016,750	MOSTAFAZADE	MOSTAFAZADEH ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Jarrett J. Stark	2823		
The MAILING DATE of this c Period for Reply	ommunication appe	ears on the cover she	et with the correspondence a	ddress	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PER WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM  - Extensions of time may be available under the after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of If NO period for reply is specified above, the mailing to reply within the set or extended perion Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1	THE MAILING DA provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 this communication. aximum statutory period wild d for reply will, by statute, of emonths after the mailing of	TE OF THIS COMM 6(a). In no event, however, m Il apply and will expire SIX (6) cause the application to become	UNICATION.  nay a reply be timely filed  ) MONTHS from the mailing date of this me ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,	
Status					
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication</li> <li>This action is FINAL.</li> <li>Since this application is in concluded in accordance with the</li> </ol>	2b)⊠ This a	action is non-final. ce except for formal	•	ne merits is	
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) 5) Claim(s) is/are allowe 6) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objecte 8) Claim(s) are subject to	is/are withdraw d. ed to.				
9)☐ The specification is objected t	o bv the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on Applicant may not request that a Replacement drawing sheet(s) i 11) The oath or declaration is obj	is/are: a) ☐ acce iny objection to the d including the correction	pted or b)⊡ objecte rawing(s) be held in ab on is required if the dra	eyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). wing(s) is objected to. See 37 (	, ,	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Papel 5) Notic	riew Summary (PTO-413) r No(s)/Mail Date e of Informal Patent Application ::		

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Reissue Applications

Applicant's arguments filed 2/13/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. During the original prosecution of the previous allowed claims, it was argued by the Applicants that the shape/structural limitation "circular portioned formed as an attachment pad" was the critical distinguishing feature of the allowed claims. This feature must be present in the claims in order to avoid being rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 251

Claims 11-22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Pannu v. Storz Instruments Inc.*, 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ2d 1597 (Fed. Cir. 2001); *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement*, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1661 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States*, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue, which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to claim subject matter that applicant

previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

The Applicant's Oath filed 6/12/2007 states the "original claim set was in error and partially inoperative at least in part because all of the originally granted independent claims specifically required that each of the leads include a 'circular portioned formed as an attachment pad' and that such limitations are unduly limiting..." The newly submitted claims omit this limitation form the new claims 11-22. It is pointed out that this limitation was added to the claims with the amendments filed 7/12/1999 and argued to be both critical to the invention and distinguishing over the prior art.

Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope of claim subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

## Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jarrett J. Stark whose telephone number is (571) 272-6005. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 7:00AM - 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Smith can be reached on (571) 272-1907. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/016,750 Page 5

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jarrett J Stark Examiner Art Unit 2823

JJS May 6, 2008

/Matthew S. Smith/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2823